

The Consequences of Our Choices

By

Dave Lindsay

The Creation Process

While so many well-meaning scientists continue to openly argue their illogical theoretical propositions, it remains an unadulterated fact that any and all proposed theories concerning pre-singularity considerations and what lies beyond the Event Horizon, the known universe, are based solely on pure unsupported speculation. Such so-called scientific theories are only challenging philosophical thoughts and religious beliefs.

It's the extremely long list of unsolved scientific mysteries that compels me. There is an endless array of cosmological constants that modern science, astrophysics and mathematics haven't even begun to fathom, much less explain. There are a limitless number of cosmic singularities that are virtually impossible for mankind to comprehend.

Elements such as dark matter, the unexplainable uniformity of Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation, the scientific acknowledgement of an eleventh dimension, the potential for infinite quantities of multiple universes (a theoretical concept that I had proposed in 1981) and an infinite realm of quantum mechanics are indisputably permeating the universe. There is virtually no scientific explanation for any of it.

While I respect and wholeheartedly support the desire and research efforts to solve those cosmological mysteries, there are limitless obscure unknown variables that can and never will be scientifically explained. At the very least, that irrefutable fact should entice enough support to warrant the scientific considerations of an ulterior force.

The inability of the scientific community to recognize the potential for the principals and beliefs that were derived from biblical and practical philosophical ethics befuddles me. Spiritual beliefs were founded on the merits of historical documentation and are furthermore supported by scientific and/or mathematical evidence. To dismiss the prospects entirely is, in my humble opinion, a gross injustice.

How can the scientific community and a circle of select few within the social structure of our enduring society be permitted to dictate theories of evolutionary development as if they were proven facts? Although most of what science teaches us is openly and respectfully acknowledged by the spiritual community, science continues to unambiguously deny the theoretical prospect that a Supreme-Being Creator could conceivably exist. To dismiss the rational and logical explanations offered up by well-educated bible-believing professionals with virtually no consideration for the unknown variables that they themselves willingly acknowledge is unjustifiably WRONG. The unequivocally supported well-justified contentions of the world's vast majority who predicate their beliefs on proclamations that cannot be disproven, are swept under a rug as if it were declared by mindless disturbed patients in a mental ward.

If each of us is entitled to our beliefs, who are you to stand in relentless judgment of mine? Who in our social and educational circle is entitled to reserve the alienable right to gamble with the belief in eternal life? If millions or billions of believers are correct and our souls are indeed forever linked to the eternal universe, who in the hierarchy of mankind is entitled to deny any

human being the right to openly profess his or her commitment to that sacred spiritual belief? Until the opposition can irrefutably prove otherwise, it hasn't earned that legislative right.

If in the end it turns out that you were right and we find that God was nothing more than a fictional figment of our imagination, the consequences of a person's decision to believe in God will have had little or no effect on the non-believing world or mankind. The opposition in the end gets the 'last laugh'. We're willing to accept the consequences for our choices. Even if we are in the end proven wrong, our efforts to live by the laws and principals of our religious beliefs will still have served to contribute in a positive manner to the planet's global community. Nothing we will have done will have a negative impact on society.

On the other hand, if in the end it turns out that the non-believers are wrong and there actually is a God who sparked that Big Bang to begin the process of creation, the world faces an entirely different set of consequences. If we discover that there is one Supreme Being who truly rules the universe and the opposition had chosen to stand on the wrong side of His picket fence, the consequences for them and those they fought to prevent from learning

the ultimate truth will be phenomenally devastating.

It is true that Spiritual Believers would like nothing more than to see all of mankind conform to their beliefs, but they don't force anyone to conform. The only thing that any believer in the creation process is asking for is a fair shake, an opportunity to share their version of the Truth. They seek an equal opportunity for every man woman and child to be granted access to all conceivably valid philosophical prospects.

It is our contention that every person is entitled to learn the truth as we understand it and that each human being is granted the unalienable right to make their own educated choice. But a person can however only make an educated decision based on the information and evidence presented by teachers from both sectors of common belief.

No form of society, democratic or otherwise, is entitled to or should be allowed to make eternal life decisions. What one chooses to believe is and should remain a personal decision.

When two opposing parties differ on a specific subject matter, our democratic society has in place a system to ensure that both

sides are permitted an equal opportunity to appropriately present their evidence and debate the issues. The public, not the courts, should be granted an opportunity to weigh that evidence and make intelligent choices. Some, perhaps many, will certainly choose to believe in the viewpoints of universal evolution over the prospect of divine creation.

The spiritual believers can respectfully acknowledge and accept a person's decision to oppose their beliefs. Based on similar principals, believers would expect the opposition to respectfully extend comparable acknowledgement and accept their decision as well. Unfortunately, that simply has NOT been the case. The government has stepped in and passed LAWS separating church and state. How can a government founded on the precept of "In God We Trust" pass any kind of a law that encourages the public to disavowal acknowledgement of that God's existence?

The clear-cut objective of a select few is to abolish public acknowledgement of the God-given right of U.S. citizens to exercise their spiritual beliefs entirely. However, according to the most recent statistical data, that elite group does not represent the majority of America's populace. When the OPTION of believers to practice their beliefs and/or worshiping their God publicly was

removed from schools and/or government buildings, rights are being violated. Because of the potential for eternal ramifications, those rights cannot be restricted or limited to the privacy of one's home or to the confines of religious organization.

The American educational system, which now adheres to those religious policies and teaches evolutionary theory as if it were absolute fact, is denying our children the right to learn what could be the most important subject taught. With virtually no consideration for the bona fide argument of spiritual creation, the potential for belief in divine creation is being rapidly and entirely phased out for future generations.

As long as I can argue my case with valid evidence for my beliefs, the court system of the United States is obligated to uphold those unalienable rights until indisputable evidence can be presented by an opposition that disproves the philosophical beliefs this great nation was built upon. Believers in divine creation don't lobby to have the theories of evolution removed and no longer taught in our school system, but that is the clear-cut objective of the opposition.

As long as an equal amount of evidence can be presented to

sustain support for claims against the teachings of the scientific community, the entire universe somehow magically stemmed from NOTHING, I will not relinquish my fight to retain those rights to equal consideration in the classrooms of our educational system.

I invite any party that opposes the prospect that that God does not exist to prove that mine and the beliefs of so many others are wrong. If that claim can be successfully argued in a public domain, I will gracefully concede victory. But the opposition can't and never will, not over the course of mankind's fleeting fragment of existence, disprove the philosophical belief in God any more than I can disprove the ludicrous assumption that our universe and all life in our world was 'sparked' into existence by the coincidental happenstance of an incognizant natural process. No one can or ever will convince me and a host of other spiritual believers of such a ridiculous close-minded concept.

I believe that the perfectly designed universe was intentionally created by an intelligent force greater than we can possibly even imagine. So, until factual scientific evidence can be produced substantiating a 'from nothing' theory of evolution, each human being on this planet is entitled to the right to decide for themselves just what the actual TRUTH may be.

If it is comparable theoretical proof you need, explore the other excerpts available on our website.

***** / ***** \ *****

© 1985 All Rights Reserved

www.DLBooks.com

Author@DLBooks.com